

Capturing shifts of the Atlantic multidecadal variability in the Met Office Decadal Prediction System

Jon Robson

j.i.robson@reading.ac.uk

🎽 @JonIRobson

Thanks to Rowan Sutton and Doug Smith









Atlantic Multidecadal Variability (AMV) and its climate impacts







JJASON Temp Yrs 1-5 (DePreSys)



(from Smith et al, 2010)







JJASON Temp Yrs 1-5 (DePreSys – NoAssim)



⁽from Smith et al, 2010)

- Only skill in a few regions
 - Mainly in the North Atlantic – Why?
 - Is the skill just persistence?
- Also, there is much less evidence for initialisation improving predictions over land – A surprise?





AMV shifts: case studies for prediction



 We have focused on understanding the observed shifts in Atlantic SST











 We have focused on understanding the observed shifts in Atlantic SST







AMV shifts: case studies for prediction



 We have focused on understanding the observed shifts in Atlantic SST



Were these events predictable?



Why?



Experiments and data



- We will be looking at predictions made with DePreSys PPE
 - Based on HadCM3 (1.25° Ocean, 3.75 x 2.5° Atmosphere)
 - 9 member perturbed physics ensemble
 - Uses **anomaly assimilation** for 3D ocean T, and S, and atmospheric U,V,T and MSLP
 - Hindcasts start every November between 1960-2005
 - Forced with historical anthropogenic, and projected natural forcings
- Comparison ensemble that does not assimilate observed information (NoAssim PPE)
- Compare the predictions with observations
 - Met Office ocean analysis
 - HadISST
 - CRU TS 3.0
 - HadSLP





Mid 1990s North Atlantic warming





- Model experiments suggest warming was largely due to ocean heat transport changes
- A lagged response of the buoyancy forced circulation to the positive NAO that peaked in the late 1980s and early 1990

See Robson et al, 2012a,b; Yeager et al, 2012





Mid 1990s North Atlantic warming





- Model experiments suggest warming was largely due to ocean heat transport changes
- A lagged response of the buoyancy forced circulation to the positive NAO that peaked in the late 1980s and early 1990

See Robson et al, 2012a,b; Yeager et al, 2012







- There are problems for examining the skill of surface variables
 - Initial shocks and biases
 - Limited number of hindcasts & ensemble members. i.e. signal to noise
- Is there an impact of initialisation in DePreSys?







- There are problems for examining the skill of surface variables
 - Initial shocks and biases
 - Limited number of hindcasts & ensemble members. i.e. signal to noise
- Is there an impact of initialisation in DePreSys?



- Compare anomalies from many predictions made before and after the warming event
 - No need to define a climatological period, or remove mean bias







- There are problems for examining the skill of surface variables
 - Initial shocks and biases
 - Limited number of hindcasts & ensemble members, i.e. signal to noise
- Is there an impact of initialisation in DePreSys?



Examining difference relative to NoAssim removes forced trend

Impact of initialisation = $\Delta DeP - \Delta NoA$

Focus on years 2-6, comparing with detrended observations





Predictions of SST









Predictions of SST







Predictions of SST





INSTITUTE

Surface climate - MAM





Surface climate - JJA





Predictions of the 1960s cooling







Predictions of the 1960s cooling













SPG energy budget



 $\Delta E = H_0 - H_A$

H_o = Ocean heat transport convergence

And

H_A = Atmospheric heat loss integrated over the latitude of the subpolar gyre (50N-65N)







SPG energy budget





1960s surface climate impact









1960s surface climate impact









Conclusions



- Results from DePreSys suggests that:-
 - The shifts in AMV in the 1960s and 1990s could have been predicted in advance there is skill *beyond persistence*
 - Both events were primarily caused by changes in ocean circulation and ocean heat transport convergence anomalies in the subpolar gyre
 - The AMOC played a key role in both shifts
 - The changes in ocean temperature also led to predictable impacts on surface climate *even over land*
- The results are therefore encouraging for the prospects of predicting future changes in North Atlantic climate





Conclusions



INSTITUTE

- Results from DePreSys suggests that:-
 - The shifts in AMV in the 1960s and 1990s could have been predicted in advance there is skill *beyond persistence*
 - Both events were primarily caused by changes in ocean circulation and ocean heat transport convergence anomalies in the subpolar over
 - The AMOC played a key role in bot
 - The changes in ocean temperature surface climate *even over land*
- The results are therefore encouraging f changes in North Atlantic climate
- BUT, Only two case studies....
 with a low-resolution model







a Simulated density change





























Model relationship suggests we should expect a further weakening of the AMOC **Excellent opportunity to test the understanding of the AMOC and, importantly, its role in climate**









Thanks!











Historical changes in the Subpolar gyre







Ocean only experiments

MICOM 2.4° resolution, ~150km in the Atlantic
 Historical experiments

Robson et al, 2012, JCLIM

• **CONTROL** - Ocean forced with daily fluxes taken from NCEP reanalysis



Ocean only experiments

Model only captures evolution of heat content anomalies when forced with changes in **buoyancy fluxes.**

Changes in ocean circulation are the key driver



e) WIND 1986-1990





f) WIND 1991-1995





g) WIND 1996-2000





h) WIND 2001-2005





e) EN3 1986-1990















-0.75 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.75

Ocean only experiments

Model only captures evolution of heat content anomalies when forced with changes in **buoyancy fluxes.**

Changes in ocean circulation are the key driver











Role of ocean heat transport changes





Initialisation of strong AMOC key to predict the warming

November 1994 hindcast





Mean DePreSys PPE – NoAssim PPE





DJF DEPRESYS DIFF t = 1



DJF DEPRESYS DIFF t = 2



DJF DEPRESYS DIFF t = 3



















MAM SAT lyr

MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 0



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 1



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 1



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 2



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 2



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 0

MAM DIFF t = 0





MAM DIFF t = 2





















MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 0



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 1



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 2



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 0





MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 1

MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 2



MAM DIFF t = 0

















MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 0



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 0



MAM DEPRESYS DIFF t = 2



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 0



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 0



MAM NOASSIM DIFF t = 2

MAM DIFF t = 0





























SPG energy budget



 $\Delta E = H_0 - H_A$

H_o = Ocean heat transport convergence

And

H_A = Atmospheric heat loss integrated over the latitude of the subpolar gyre (50N-65N)







Ocean heat transport





Weak ocean circulation \rightarrow weak northward heat transport





But why a weak AMOC?





1200-3000m Density anomalies







1200-3000m Density anomalies









1200-3000m Density anomalies









Prediction of the GSA





Surface climate impact

